

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
AUGUSTA DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
v.) CR 112-242
ADRIAN DIAZ-FONSECA)
JOSE RIVEA MONTANO)

ORDER

After a careful, *de novo* review of the file, the Court concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation, to which objections have been filed (doc. nos. 201, 202).¹ Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of the Court. Therefore, Defendants Diaz-Fonseca’s and Montano’s preliminary motions to suppress are **MOOT**, (doc. nos. 127, 128), and their particularized motions to suppress are **DENIED**, (doc. nos. 139, 140).

SO ORDERED this 19th day of June, 2013, at Augusta, Georgia.

HONORABLE J. RANDAL HALL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

¹Defendants were granted an extension of time in which to object to the Report and Recommendation. (Doc. nos. 199, 200.) The government also filed a response in opposition to Defendants' objections. (Doc. no. 203.)